

WILSON MOOT 2010

CLARIFICATIONS

1. The facts are as found by Mohammed J. at trial. No further facts will be considered by the High Court of the Dominion of Canada. The parties should not conduct research to attempt to expand upon, or alter, the facts as found by Mohammed J.
2. The Attorney General did make arguments respecting s. 15(2) of the *Charter* at trial, and is not limited from pursuing those arguments at the High Court of the Dominion of Canada.
3. Mohammed J. also made the following findings at trial:
 - The sole reason given by Jasmine’s parents’ for their objection to her participation in the comprehensive sexual education classes was that they felt the curriculum is not age-appropriate
 - During the 2006 Marksville Collegiate STI outbreak, there were 300 students at Marksville Collegiate. During the 2006 outbreak at Marksville Collegiate, 62 students were found to have one or more STIs
 - The sexual health education class at Marksville Collegiate is the only available source of reliable sexual health information for Jasmine
4. Chan J.A. is a woman.
5. The High Court of the Dominion of Canada has not asked the parties to address the issue of remedy. The High Court of the Dominion of Canada will only consider argument on the three questions on which it granted leave.
6. With respect to s. 15(1) of the *Charter*, the only ground of alleged discrimination the High Court of the Dominion of Canada will consider is that of “dependent status”.
7. For the purposes of the Wilson Moot, assume that the text of the *Alberta Human Rights Act* in 2006, when section 11.1 was added, reads as the *Alberta Human Rights Act*, RSA 2000 A-25.5, did as of October 1, 2009.